Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/884,311	ADLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	VIRGIL HERRING	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow); tter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,12-17,19,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached information Displaying Statement(s). 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(ГТО/ЭБ/00) Paper No(s)		
/Gilberto Barron Jr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2132			

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Cancellation of the claims would overcome the objections to claims 15, 16, and 22, and rejection of claims 12-17, 21, and 22.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. As stated by SPE Gilberto Barron in the final office action, the rules defining providing personal identifiable information by any user to another user is disclosed in the Benantar reference. The claims do not link the original data set requested by the first user to the transmission of that data set to the third user. References to personally identifiable information are generic, and with a broad but reasonable interpretation the data set requested by the third entity is any data and not the one argued by the Applicant. Furthermore, the addition of the "said", though it is a small change, substantially alters the scope of the claim, and thus would require further search and consideration.